

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/648,271	ESTAKHRI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Denise Tran	2185	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Denise Tran.

(3) _____

(2) Thomas Haverstock (Reg. No. 32,571).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

24-28, 55, 56, and 59

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

page 7, including claims 24-28 of the amendment after final filed 7/31/06 was missing; Also, Mr. Haverstock agreed to amend claims 55, 56, and 59 as stated in the examiner amendment and to submit the missing page 7.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Denise Tran

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)